

# **CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (CALTRANS)**

## **1998 INTERREGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (ITIP)**

**March 2, 1998**

The 1998 ITIP is posted on the internet at:  
**<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/TransPrg/ctcliaison.htm>**



# Memorandum

To: **CHAIR AND MEMBERS**  
**California Transportation Commission**

Date: **March 2, 1998**

File:

From: **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**  
**DIRECTOR'S OFFICE**

Subject: **Interregional Transportation Improvement Program Submittal**

The Department's 1998 Interregional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP) submittal is attached. The ITIP has been developed in accordance with the provisions of Senate Bill 45, the California Transportation Commission's State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Interim Guidelines, and the Department's draft 1998 Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan. This submittal is a listing of projects on the state highway system totaling just over \$903 million.

The Department chooses to wait for enactment of a federal transportation reauthorization act and further guidance from the Commission before developing projects for the \$69 million of Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds restricted to Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) projects. The remaining \$184 million of the IIP is to be focused primarily on the intercity passenger rail system and will be submitted after further consultation with the Commission's Subcommittees for Transit and Interregional Improvement Project Review. Backup documentation, including nomination sheets and technical adjustments to currently programmed projects, will be delivered under separate cover.

Senate Bill 45 has revised the STIP process by consolidating funding categories and assigning 75 percent of available funds for county share regional projects, with the remaining 25 percent for interregional transportation improvements. The 1998 STIP Fund Estimate includes \$4,623,000,000 for new STIP projects, which in accordance with Senate Bill 45, allows \$3,467,250,000 (75 percent) for the Regional Improvement Program (RIP) and \$1,155,750,000 (25 percent) for the IIP. Over \$3 billion in project candidates were submitted in competition for the \$1.1 billion available for the ITIP. The initial candidate lists were distilled by evaluation of the project recommendations and priorities made by the Department's Districts and our Regional partners, review by members of the Commission's Subcommittee for Interregional Improvement Project Review, and policy direction from the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency.

Chair and Members  
California Transportation Commission  
March 2, 1998  
Page 2

About 70 percent of the proposed highway projects are on focused and gateway routes that are needed to build the higher standard statewide trunk system required for linking rural and smaller urban centers to the larger high growth urban centers, with an emphasis on north-south routes for the 1998 STIP. Together, these projects will significantly improve safety while reducing interregional travel time for people and goods. Some projects on the list will close gaps that have been left after years of incremental effort to improve individual routes, while others have been added to stimulate trade and economic development. A few are included to support and encourage the opportunities for joint project funding created by Senate Bill 45.

Coordination between the Department and the regional agencies has resulted in the development of a strong ITIP proposal that promotes the implementation of the best projects for improving the transportation system and overall economic health of our State. Thank you for your involvement in this important process.



JAMES W. VAN LOBEN SELS  
Director

Attachment

- c: Business, Transportation and Housing Agency
- Regional Transportation Planning Agencies
- County Transportation Commissions
- Deputy Directors
- District Directors
- Program Managers
- Service Center Managers
- District Division Chiefs, Planning and Programming

# 1998 Interregional Transportation Improvement Program

(sorted by Co/Rte/PM)  
(Dollars in Thousands)

District	County	Route	BPM	PPNO	EA	General Location	Description	First Year Const.	Grand Total	Future Total	98 STIP Total	98 RIP	98 Loc	98 IIP	98 IIP Cumul. Cost
4	ALA	80	2.5	0054K	25480K	In ALA near the Bay Bridge	Construct extension of EB HOV lane	1999	18,400		18,400	3,400	8,900	6,100	6,100
4	ALA/	680	0.0	0157D	25370K	In ALA and SCI Counties	Construct southbound lane	2003	52,717		52,717	14,717		38,000	44,100
3	BUT	149	0.0	0026C	382210	In Butte County	Construct 4-lane expressway	2001	7,659		7,659			7,659	51,759
10	CAL	4	R21.1	0304B	362500	In Angels Camp	Const 2-Ln expwy,Angel's Bypass-new	2005	34,031	19,665	14,366	11,183		3,183	54,942
11	IMP	7	1.2	0051G	068000	Near Calexico	Construct 4-lane expressway	2001	37,009		37,052	33,000		4,052	58,994
11	IMP	78	L7.2	0021	167820	Near Brawley in Imperial Co.	Construct 4-lane highway	2003	41,101		40,740			40,740	99,734
11	IMP	111	R10.9	0043H	1993U0	Near El Centro	2-lane highway to 4-lane expressway	2000	1,869		2,852			2,852	102,586
11	IMP	111	R13.1	0044D	199340	Near El Centro	2-lane highway to 4-lane expressway	2001	5,164		5,164			5,164	107,750
11	IMP	111	R17.6	0044L	199360	Near El Centro	2-lane highway to 4-lane expressway	2002	3,579		3,579			3,579	111,329
9	INY	395	54.6	0020A	213001	Lone Pine	Widen to 4-lane conventional	1999	1,273		1,273			1,273	112,602
9	INY	395	64.5	0172	214400	Manzanar	Widen to 4-lane expwy (additional funds)	2003	7,208		7,208			7,208	119,810
9	INY	395	70.3	0191	21480K	Independence 4-lane	Widen to 4-lane expressway	2005	15,593	11,730	3,863			3,863	123,673
6	KER	58	T31.6	3455	40010K	In and near Bakersfield	4 and 6F on new 8F R/W alignment	2004	276,584		275,684	245,684		30,000	153,673
7	LA	5			17860K	In Glendale	Modify Route 5/Western Ave. I/C	2003	22,256		22,256		15,600	6,656	160,329
7	LA	134			17870K	In Glendale	Modify Route 134/San Fernando Road I/C	2001	4,102		4,102			4,102	164,431
7	LA	134			18850K	In Burbank	Modify route 134/Hollywood Way I/C	2003	8,984		8,984		1,000	7,984	172,415
7	LA	710	26.5	2215	187901	In LA, Alhambra,El Sereno, &	Community involvement process for 710 fwy	2009	2,961		2,961			2,961	175,376
7	LA	710	29.4	0219N	0200A1	In So. Pasadena & Pasadena	Repair/preserv. of hist. bldgs. ; R/W only	1999	3,910		3,910			3,910	179,286
7	LA	710	34.9	2019	17190K	In Vernon and Bell	Modify I/C and ramps	2003	11,864		11,864		3,965	7,899	187,185
6	MAD	99	20.1	5410	29330K	Near Madera	4E to 4F with interchange at Ave. 22	2005	33,254	26,150	7,104			7,104	194,289
1	MEN	101	5.8	0167B	30170K	Near Hopland	Construct 4-lane expressway	2001	18,111		18,111			18,111	212,400
1	MEN	101	T43.5	0125F	262000	Near Willits	Convert 2-lane to 4-lane freeway	2003	56,573		56,467	17,300		39,167	251,567
10	MER	99	10.6	0528D	36310K	In Merced	Convert to 4-lane freeway	2004	46,797		46,797	18,500		28,297	279,864
10	MER	99	R23.8	5479	41480K	In Merced County	Convert to 4-lane freeway	2004	35,505		35,505			35,505	315,369
10	MER	99	R26.8	0546D	31696K	In Merced County	Convert to 4-lane freeway	2004	31,242		31,242			31,242	346,611

# 1998 Interregional Transportation Improvement Program

(sorted by Co/Rte/PM)  
(Dollars in Thousands)

District	County	Route	BPM	PPNO	EA	General Location	Description	First Year Const.	Grand Total	Future Total	98 STIP Total	98 RIP	98 Loc	98 IIP	98 IIP Cumul. Cost
5	MON	101	91.5	0058F	016180	Near Prunedale & Salinas	Construct bypass; 4 lanes w/o full I/C	2004	150,892	136,507	14,385			14,385	360,996
5	MON	156	R1.3	0057C	31600K	In Castroville	Widen to 4-lane divided expwy. (gap closure)	2004	31,954	27,453	4,501			4,501	365,497
12	ORA	5	42.1	0978T	101670	In Buena Park	Add 1 HOV lane in each direction	2002	27,624		27,624			27,624	393,121
12	ORA	91	R16.5	4671	085200	In ORA and RIV Counties	Auxiliary lanes in Orange County	2000	11,095	9,191	1,904			1,904	395,025
3	PLA	65	12.2	0145M	333800	In and near Lincoln	Route adoption, 4-lane expressway		1,493		1,493	743		750	395,775
3	PLA	49	2.2	4475A	36771K	South of Grass Valley	Widen to 4 lanes with cont. left turn lane	2002	21,062		21,062	9,598		11,464	407,239
8	RIV	71	0.0	0048B	44651K	Near Chino	Widen to 4-lane divided expwy	2001	7,107		7,057			7,057	414,296
8	RIV	91	R0.0	0072D	45660K	Near Corona	Add aux. Ins.; Realign ramps at Green Riv Dr	2002	31,714	28,136	3,578			3,578	417,874
8	RIV	215	42.6	0125J	44940K	In Riverside	Construct SB to EB I-215 flyover connector	2004	49,859		49,859	13,326		36,533	454,407
3	SAC	50	16.1	0220D	369600	Near Folsom	Revise interchange & construct aux. lanes	1999	10,059		10,059	2,029		8,030	462,437
3	SAC/	50	21.2	6199	44163K	About 15 mi E of Sacramento	Construct HOV lanes	2004	28,207		28,207	10,048	2,275	15,884	478,321
8	SBD	15	R20.8	0170H	44850K	Cajon Pass	Inst. median, conv. exist. rt ln to trck climb ln	2001	8,957		8,957			8,957	487,278
8	SBD	15	41.5	0174H	355500	Between Victorville & Barstow	Add NB outside mixed flow lane	2003	70,667	65,241	4,566			4,566	491,844
8	SBD	15	41.5	0174I	35551G	Between Victorville & Barstow	Add SB only mixed flow lane	2003	83,601		82,311			82,311	574,155
8	SBD	58	R0.0	0215C	347700	Near Kramer Junction	Const 4-lane expwy on new alignment	2006	94,133	89,644	4,489			4,489	578,644
8	SBD	58	T22.4	0217F	04351K	Near Hinkley	Realign/widen 2 to 4-lane expressway	2004	97,794	86,711	11,083			11,083	589,727
5	SBT	156	3.3	0297	34490K	San Juan Bautista	Widen to 4-lane expressway (gap closure)	2005	35,239	26,686	8,553			8,553	598,280
4	SCL	152	11.0	0484	152000	In SCL and SBT Counties	Construct hwy on new alignment. ED only	2009	259,000	252,000	7,000			7,000	605,280
5	SCR	17	10.8	0464	44640K	North of Santa Cruz	Construct truck climbing lane	2004	9,269		9,269	2,000		7,269	612,549
11	SD	905	5.7	0374K	093160	In Otay Mesa in SD County	4-lane expressway(RW for 6-lane fwy)	2003	178,651	92,198	84,621	28,000		56,621	669,170
2	SHA	299	5.3	0166C	27032K	Buckhorn (Lower, Foothill)	Realign roadway, segment 1B	2003	7,356		7,356			7,356	676,526
2	SHA	299	6.5	0166B	27033K	Buckhorn (Lower, Valley)	Realign roadway, segment 1A	2003	7,165		7,165			7,165	683,691
2	SIS	97	49.3	0244A	28790K	Dorris	Construct 2-lane expressway	2004	18,870		18,870	9,435		9,435	693,126
10	SJ	99	18.6	7673	44540K	In Stockton	Widen to 6 lanes in median	2002	27,007		27,007		14,293	12,714	705,840
10	SJ	205	R3.4	7965B	30016K	In Tracy	Widen 4-lane to 6-lane fwy	2005	54,104	48,201	5,903	2,951		2,952	708,792

# 1998 Interregional Transportation Improvement Program

(sorted by Co/Rte/PM)  
(Dollars in Thousands)

District	County	Route	BPM	PPNO	EA	General Location	Description	First Year Const.	Grand Total	Future Total	98 STIP Total	98 RIP	98 Loc	98 IIP	98 IIP Cumul. Cost
5	SLO	46	32.2	0226A	3307UK	East of Paso Robles	Widen from 2-lane hwy to 4-lane expwy	2004	62,678		62,678	29,732		32,946	741,738
5	SLO	46	51.0	4542	45420K	In SLO East of Paso Robles	Lengthen EB & WB passing lanes	2002	5,932		5,932			5,932	747,670
4	SM	1	36.6	0626	112371	In San Mateo County	Construct 2-lane tunnel with approaches	2000	70,171		70,171	2,500	65,171	2,500	750,170
4	SOL	80	12.3	8274	0T160K	Route 80/680 I/C in Fairfield	Recon. I-680 direct connectors & aux. lanes	2003	6,914		6,914			6,914	757,084
4	SOL	80	30.9	8378	33770K	In and near Vacaville	Convert 6-lane fwy to 8-lane fwy. ED only	2006	44,230	41,230	3,000			3,000	760,084
4	SOL	29/37	4.2/9.	0831D	0T1421	In Vallejo	Const. Rte 29/37 interchange, Phase III	2001	54,100		54,100	14,000		40,100	800,184
4	SON	101	19.5		24540K	Route 101 in Sonoma County	2 HOV lanes & modify interchanges	2004	61,530	4,700	56,830	44,830		12,000	812,184
3	SUT	70	0.2	0289B	386410	Near E Nicolaus	Construct 4-lane expressway	2004	44,469		44,469			44,469	856,653
3	SUT	99	8.7		1A4310	In Sutter Co. near Nicolaus	Widen to provide 4-lane segment	2002	9,621		9,621			9,621	866,274
3	SUT	99	12.9		434900	About 12.2 mi So. of Yuba	Construct passing lane & widen	2000	4,426		4,422			4,422	870,696
3	SUT	99	16.8		1A4330	South of Yuba City 70/99	Widen to provide 4-lane segment	2002	9,895		9,895	8,471		1,424	872,120
3	SUT	99	21.4		1A4620	In Sutter Co. So. of Yuba City	Widen to 4 lanes & left turn pockets	2002	14,627		14,627			14,627	886,747
3	SUT/	70	5.0	0289P	386420	North of E Nicolaus	Construct 4-lane expressway	2004	53,329	50,158	3,171			3,171	889,918
2	TRI	299	26.7	0301H	28820K	Near Big Bar	Construct EB and WB passing lanes	2003	4,093		4,093			4,093	894,011
10	TUO	120	R56.5	4934	24340K	Near Yosemite	Yosemite Area Regional Transit System	1999	2,680		2,680			2,680	896,691
7	VEN	126	22.3	2117	17830K	In Filmore	Widen Roadway	2000	1,668		1,668			1,668	898,359
3	YUB/	70	8.3	0364A	37230K	Proposed Marysville bypass	Construct expressway on new alignment	2015	381,881	375,881	6,000	3,000		3,000	901,359
3	YUB/	65	9.2	0362A	29730K	From Rte 70 in Yuba County	Construct 4-lane expwy & Br. on new align.	2009	184,028	177,782	6,246	4,500		1,746	903,105