

# 710 North Gap Closure Project

## Scoping Summary Report Volume I

9/30/2011

California Department of Transportation

Division of Environmental Planning (MS-16A)

100 S. Main St. Los Angeles, CA 90012

SCH No.: 1982092310

Caltrans Project No.: 0700000191 (EA: 187900)



## Table of Contents

Introduction .....	2
Pre-Scoping and Formal Scoping Activities.....	2
Comments.....	4
Project History .....	6
Scoping Overview.....	6
Project Milestone Dates.....	7
Outreach Efforts.....	7
NOI/NOP .....	7
Web-Based Notification.....	8
Public Notices.....	8
Additional Outreach Methods .....	10
Public Meetings.....	12
Summary of Scoping Comments.....	13

# Executive Summary

---

## Introduction

The State Route 710 North Gap Closure Project is being undertaken by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in coordination with the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) and other partner agencies.

The proposed project, depending on the results of a thorough environmental analysis of all possible transportation improvements during the NEPA/CEQA process, may include, but not be limited to: surface and subsurface highway/freeway construction, heavy rail and bus/light rail systems, local street upgrades, traffic management systems and a no build alternative. There currently is a gap in the I-710 corridor, for a distance of approximately 4.5 miles (7.2km), which extends between Valley Boulevard to the south and Del Mar Boulevard to the north. As originally identified in the April 13, 1998 Record of Decision for the Meridian Variation alignment, this gap contributes to congestion on local streets and the regional freeway system. The objective of this project is to relieve congestion and improve mobility within the study area.

To comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), an Environmental Impact Report/Statement (EIR/EIS) is being prepared. CEQA and NEPA both encourage public participation throughout the development of an EIR/EIS. Scoping is a means of soliciting input, early in the development process, concerning the project purpose and need, the range of alternatives to be analyzed, and the scope of the analysis to be included in the environmental document.

This Scoping Summary Report (SSR) has been prepared to document the scoping activities conducted to solicit input from the public and government agencies, to identify public and agency concerns and to define the environmental issues and alternatives to be examined in the EIR/EIS. This report covers public outreach conducted during the formal scoping period of March 4, 2011 to April 14, 2011. Public and agency outreach efforts will continue throughout the project development process.

## Pre-Scoping and Formal Scoping Activities

Extensive efforts have been made to encourage public and agency participation in the scoping process. These efforts included:

- ✚ Three (3) series of Community Meetings designed to educate the public on the project development and approval process. These series of meetings consisted of:

- ❖ Series 1: Transportation – *Where have we been? Where are we going?* – Consisted of 6 meetings held February 15-26, 2011, in the cities of San Gabriel, Alhambra, Pasadena, South Pasadena, El Sereno, and Glendale.
- ❖ Series 2: *Protecting Communities through an Environmental Process* – CEQA/NEPA – Consisted of 6 meetings held March 1-17, 2011, in the cities of Pasadena, San Gabriel, Alhambra, South Pasadena, Glendale, and El Sereno.
- ❖ Series 3: *Going on the Record* – Consisted of 8 formal scoping meetings and a Virtual Scoping Meeting as described below.

✚ Eight (8) formal scoping meetings were held at the following locations from 6pm to 8pm:

- ❖ San Gabriel, March 15, 2011, Jefferson Middle School, 1372 East Las Tunas Drive, CA 91776
- ❖ Alhambra, March 16, 2011, Civic Center Library, 101 S. First Street, CA 91801
- ❖ Glendale, March 22, 2011, Glendale Community College, 1500 North Verdugo Road, California 91208
- ❖ South Pasadena, March 23, 2011, South Pasadena High School, 1401 Fremont Ave., 91030
- ❖ El Sereno, March 29, 2011, LA Christian Presbyterian Church, 2241 N. Eastern Ave., 90032
- ❖ Pasadena, March 30, 2011, Lake Avenue Church, 393 N. Lake Ave., 91101
- ❖ La Canada, April 5, 2011, La Canada High School, La Canada Flintridge.
- ❖ Los Angeles, April 6, 2011, Ramona Hall Community Center, Los Angeles, CA.

✚ A virtual scoping meeting was webcast on March 21, 2011 and on-demand at [www.metro.net/sr710conversations](http://www.metro.net/sr710conversations) through April 14, 2011.

✚ Mailing notification to appropriate local, state and federal agencies and elected officials

✚ Website developed at [www.metro.net/sr710conversations](http://www.metro.net/sr710conversations) .

✚ Posted Scoping Notices at public libraries.

- ✚ Published Scoping Notices in 39 different newspapers in the project study area (a list of all participating newspapers can be found on page 9).
- ✚ One formal agency scoping meeting was held on March 14, 2011 at Caltrans District 7 Headquarters, 100 S. Main Street, Los Angeles.

## Comments

109 written comment letters were received during the formal scoping period. A total of 114 verbal comments and 29 comment cards were received during the formal scoping meetings. These comments are summarized in the Comment Matrix and all written comments are also included in their entirety in Volume II.

Public comments covered a wide range of issues. Primary areas of concern included the project's purpose and need, the alternatives to be included in the EIR/EIS, health and safety concerns with any and all possible tunnel options, potential placement of tunnel ventilation shafts, project cost, and general statements for and against the project.

Agency comments focused on the jurisdictional responsibilities of the particular commenting agency and included issues such as potential project alternatives, traffic impacts, and potential permitting requirements. All agencies requested that all future project information be provided as it becomes available.

The scoping process provided a wide array of public comments and has provided invaluable guidance that will help the development of the project and preparation of the environmental document. Caltrans' goal is to ensure that all relevant CEQA/NEPA resource issues are fully analyzed and documented in the EIR/EIS.

Caltrans and its partner agencies will continue with their outreach efforts in an attempt to fully engage the public and agencies in the development of the project.

<i>Predominant Scoping Comment Themes</i>		
<i>Comment theme</i>	<i># of Comments</i>	<i>Sub-theme</i>
<b>Project Opposition</b>	78	
<b>Project Support</b>	66	
<b>Items to be included in EIR/EIS analysis</b>	40	Wide range of technical study recommendations to be included in Environmental Document.
<b>Air Quality</b>	20	Health Impact Assessment/Health Risk Assessment (HIA/HRA), Tunnel ventilation concerns, MSATS, Hot Spot Analysis
<b>Tunnel Concerns</b>	10	Safety, Constructability, Cost, groundwater quality
<b>Information requests</b>	6	Project alternatives/Costs/Tolling
<b>Project Definition</b>	6	More specific alternative discussion
<b>Rail Alternative advocacy</b>	6	
<b>Request for Cost/Benefit Analysis</b>	5	
<b>Historic Resources</b>	3	
<b>Other Transportation Recommendations</b>	2	Rail/Transit
<b>GRID solutions</b>	1	San Gabriel River Infrastructure Development (GRID)

**\* Due to the wide-range of comment letters with multiple topics, there is some overlap. For instance, many of the support/opposition letters also included requests for items to be included in EIR/EIS analysis.**

The above table summarizes the predominant comment themes received during scoping. These comments include all submittal methods (oral, written, electronic). In summary, we received a wide range of comments with the majority of themes revolving around the importance of completing a thorough EIR/EIS.

Geographically, project support and opposition was roughly split with the study area’s southern cities expressing support and the northern cities expressing opposition. Support was expressed strongest in the southernmost cities of Alhambra, San Gabriel, Monterey Park, Rosemead, El Monte and San Marino. The northern cities of La Canada, Glendale, Pasadena, and South Pasadena expressed opposition.

# Introduction

---

## Project History

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (METRO) and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) have initiated studies related to the SR-710 North Gap Closure. Depending on the results of a thorough environmental analysis of all possible transportation improvements during the NEPA/CEQA process, the project may include, but not be limited to: surface and subsurface highway/freeway construction, heavy rail and bus/light rail systems, local street upgrades, traffic management systems and a no build alternative. There currently is a gap in the SR-710 corridor, for a distance of approximately 4.5 miles (7.2km), which extends between Valley Boulevard to the south and Del Mar Boulevard to the north. As originally identified in the April 13, 1998 Record of Decision for the Meridian Variation alignment, this gap contributes to congestion on local streets and the regional freeway system. The objective of this project is to relieve congestion and improve mobility within the study area.

The 710 Gap Closure project has a long history and has been the subject of numerous technical/environmental studies. Included in Volume II is a *SR-710 – Historical Log of Events (1930-2010)* which details the numerous project iterations.

With the approval of Measure “R” funds in 2008, \$780 million was identified for use in completing the 710 North Gap Closure Project.

## Scoping Overview

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires state and local agencies to analyze and disclose the potential environmental impact of major projects. The federal National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires federal agencies to analyze potential environmental impact of major federal actions. This proposed project is subject to review under both CEQA and NEPA and an Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) will be prepared.

Scoping is the process that occurs in the early stages of the development of a comprehensive environmental document that satisfies the requirements of both CEQA and NEPA. It involves reaching out to the public and governmental agencies potentially affected by the project to determine the focus and content of the EIR/EIS. More specifically, its purpose is to solicit input concerning the project purpose and need, the range of alternatives to be analyzed and the scope of the analysis to be included in the environmental document.

This Scoping Summary Report describes the process undertaken by Metro and Caltrans during the public Scoping process. Scoping involved both the general public and governmental agencies in soliciting comments, prior to initiation of formal environmental studies, to be included in the project's EIR/EIS. This report also summarizes the issues and comments raised during the formal scoping period from March 3, 2011 to April 14, 2011.

## Project Milestone Dates

Below are the future project milestones:

- ✚ Public Outreach – Ongoing
- ✚ Notice of Preparation/Notice of Intent – March 3, 2011
- ✚ Public Scoping Meetings – March/April 2011
- ✚ Complete Draft EIR/EIS – Summer 2013
- ✚ Public Hearings on Draft EIR/EIS – Summer 2013
- ✚ Project Approval/Environmental Document (PA/ED) – Winter 2014

## Outreach Efforts

### NOI/NOP

The scoping process is the means by which Caltrans conducts initial outreach and notifies the public and governmental agencies about the proposed project. This process is initiated with the publication of the Notice of Intent (NOI) in the Federal Register and the preparation and distribution of the Notice of Preparation (NOP).

The NOI signals the intent of a federal agency to prepare an EIS. The NOI for this project was published on March 3, 2011 in the Office of the Federal Register in compliance with federal regulation 40 CFR 1508.28. The NOI included background information about the project and a brief description of possible project alternatives. It also provided information about the agency and public scoping meeting locations, contact information, and how to provide comments on the project.

The NOP signals the intent of a California state or local agency to prepare an EIR. The NOP for this project was posted at the State Clearinghouse (SCH# 1982092310) in compliance with Section 15082 of the CEQA guidelines and circulated to public agencies and other interested parties on March 3, 2011. The NOP notified the public and agencies that an EIR is being

prepared and provided a brief description of the project and information on how to provide comments on the project.

### Web-Based Notification

The following social media and websites were developed for the purpose of providing a quick and easy way to disseminate information about the project as it became available.

 [Facebook.com/sr710conversations](https://www.facebook.com/sr710conversations)

 [Twitter.com/sr710convos](https://twitter.com/sr710convos)

 [Sr710conversations@metro.net](mailto:Sr710conversations@metro.net)

 [Metro.net/sr710conversations](https://metro.net/sr710conversations)

### Public Notices

Both CEQA and NEPA require that the lead agency conduct an early and open process for determining the scope of issues to be addressed and for identifying any potential for significant impacts. In addition to publication of the NOI/NOP, several methods were used to notify the affected communities about the project and encourage their participation in the scoping process.

Public meeting notices were initially sent via an “email blast” to all project stakeholders and interested individuals in the project study area. An extensive email address list had previously been developed from the extensive public community input obtained through the previous, numerous iterations of the 710 North Gap Project.

Public meeting notices were also sent to the following public libraries in the communities where the meetings were to be held.

**Los Angeles County** – City Terrace Library, San Gabriel Library, Rosemead Library, El Monte Library, Temple City Library, Live Oak Library, Norwood Library, Duarte Library

**Los Angeles City** – El Sereno, Malabar Neighborhood Branch, Arroyo Seco Regional Branch, Echo Park Neighborhood Branch, Eagle Rock Neighborhood Branch

**Pasadena Libraries** – Santa Catalina Branch, Villa Parke Community Center Library, Linda Vista Branch, San Rafael Branch

**City of San Marino** – Crowell Library

**Other Cities** - Alhambra Civic Center Library, Sierra Madre Public Library, Monrovia Public Library, South Pasadena Public Library, Arcadia Public Library

Letters were also sent to the appropriate local, state and federal agencies and elected officials notifying them of the formal initiation of studies. The scoping notices, sample letters, and lists of agencies and elected officials invited to attend the scoping meetings is included Volume II.

Additionally, Public Scoping Notices and were published in the following newspapers:

<b>Publication</b>	<b>Advertisement Dates</b>	
	<b>Metro</b>	<b>Caltrans</b>
<i>San Gabriel Valley News Group</i>		
San Gabriel Valley Tribune	3/10/2011	2/28/2011
Pasadena Star News	3/10/2011	2/28/2011
Whittier Daily News	3/10/2011	3/4/2011
<i>Eastern Group</i>		
Eastside Sun	3/10/2011	3/31/2011*
Northeast Sun		
Mexican American Sun		
Bell Gardens Sun		
City Terrence Comet		
Commerce Comet		
Montebello Comet		
Monterey Park Comet		
ELA Brooklyn Belvedere Comet		
Wyvernwood Chronicle		
Vernon Sun		
L. A. Downtown News	3/14/2011	2/28/2011
Pasadena Weekly	3/10/2011	3/10/2011
South Pasadena Review	3/9/2011	3/9/2011
<i>Beacon Media</i>		
Arcadia Weekly	3/10/2011	3/3/2011
Monrovia Weekly	3/10/2011	3/3/2011
Sierra Madre Weekly	3/10/2011	3/10/2011
Temple City Tribune	3/14/2011	3/3/2011
Pasadena Independent	3/10/2011	3/10/2011
San Marino Tribune	3/10/2011	3/10/2011
<i>The Outlooks</i>		
La Canada Flintridge Outlook	3/31/2011	3/10/2011
Pasadena Outlook	3/31/2011	3/10/2011
<i>Times Community Group</i>		
Glendale News Press	3/10/2011	3/31/2011* 3/9/2011
La Canada Valley Sun	3/10/2011	3/31/2011* 3/10/2011
Mid Valley News	3/9/2011	3/9/2011
West Valley Journal	3/10/2011	

El Clasificado	3/9/2011		
Hoy	3/11/2011	4/1/2011*	3/11/2011
World Journal News (formerly CDN)	3/11/2011		3/5/2011
Sing Tao Daily	3/11/2011		3/5/2011
Asbarez Armenian Daily Newspaper	3/12/2011		3/5/2011
Chinese Daily News			3/5/2011
Daily News			3/4/2011
La Opinion			3/4/2011
News-Press			3/9/2011
Pasadena Independent			3/10/2011

**Notes**

\* for requested Scoping meetings starting April 5, 2011

**Additional Outreach Methods**

The consultant MBI Media was commissioned by Metro to do the following work to engage the community in attending all three Series of SR-710 Conversations. Below are strategies used to reach out to community members:

- 1) **Phone Calls** - Made phone calls to businesses, elected officials, neighborhood council members, chambers, schools, civic city groups, non-profits, schools, and PTA’s.
- 2) **Email Blast** - We sent out 20 "Save-the-Date" and "Virtual Meeting" email blasts to 20,944 unique community members on behalf of Metro inviting the community to attend SR-710 public meetings. Each blast included a flyer attachment and link to MTA page.
- 3) **Flyer Drop Off** - MBI made targeted drop offs of over 20,000 flyer invitations to community organizations throughout the cities of Alhambra, South Pasadena, El Sereno, San Gabriel and Highland Park. The community organizations include: city hall, community organizations, senior centers, schools, main offices of local churches, YMCAs, South Pasadena School District, Alhambra Businesses and Library, San Gabriel Unified School District, El Sereno Unified School District, libraries, and church youth groups.
- 4) **Door-to-Door** in El Sereno and Highland Park - MBI printed 10,000 dual sided bilingual (English and Spanish) "Save-The-Date" flyers and made a "Door-to-Door" delivery to businesses and residences in El Sereno and Highland Park in order to invite them to Scoping meetings.
- 5) **Contacted Elected officials** - MBI asked elected officials to send an email blast to their list of El Sereno and Alhambra constituents which had a more robust email list.

They also asked elected official representatives to provide more leads on other organizations that we did not have sufficient information to contact or were not aware of.

6) **Facebook** - Posted meeting information on Facebook and sent out messages to El Sereno organizations listed on Facebook.

7) **Organization email blast** - One Community sent out an email blast for Pasadena area residents and its members to invite them to attend the series 3 meetings but primarily focused on the Pasadena scoping meeting.

8) **Electronic Sign** - MBI communicated with Lincoln High School's Vice Principal Rosas and Technical Representative, Mr. Nava, to place an El Sereno and Highland Park announcement on the school's electronic sign.

9) **Tell-a-Parent** - Vice Principal Rosas offered to do a Tell-a-Parent notice over the phone that would relay meeting information to parents of the community.

10) **One-on-One Meetings** - In order to allow Metro's flyers to be passed out and to make announcements, MBI's team met One-on-On, in El Sereno and Glendale, with community organizations and church leaders.

11) **Chamber List** - MBI's team obtained a South Pasadena Chamber member list and contacted each business and organization listed either via email or phone call.

12) **Individual Emails** - MBI sent individual email invitations to the 8 meeting location cities: PTA groups, churches, church groups, civic organizations, and non-profit groups.

13) **Church Announcements** - successful in getting Calvary Chapel to make announcement on March 27, 2011 during their church service.

14) **1-800-710-GAP1** - MBI purchased the toll free number for all study area residents to call in 24/7 and ask questions. Voice mail was retrieved daily, transcribed and forwarded to Metro for response.

15) **Local Web Press** - MBI's team contacted local online Patch Writers (South Pasadena, La Canada and Pasadena). The team also received press from Los Angeles Chinese Press.

16) **Multi-lingual Outreach** - In the Project Study Area, MBI's team reached out to the Spanish, Chinese and Armenian communities and encouraged participation.

## Public Meetings

Prior to the formal scoping meetings there were two series of open house type meetings that consisted of six (6) meetings each for a total of twelve community meetings.

- ✚ Series 1: Transportation – Where have we been? Where are we going? (2/15/11 to 2/26/11)
- ✚ Series 2: Protecting Communities through an Environmental Process – CEQA/NEPA. (3/1/11 to 3/17/11)

Series 1 & 2 were held in an open house format and consisted of numerous round table discussions in a brainstorming format to both educate attendees of the project approval process and to educate the stakeholders on any potential issues.

Series 3 consisted of eight (8) Formal Scoping Meetings and provided the basis for this Scoping Summary Report. The scoping meetings were held from 6pm to 8pm at the following locations:

1. San Gabriel, March 15, 2011, Jefferson Middle School, 1372 East Las Tunas Drive, CA 91776
2. Alhambra, March 16, 2011, Civic Center Library, 101 S. First Street, CA 91801
3. Glendale, March 22, 2011, Glendale Community College, 1500 North Verdugo Road, California 91208
4. South Pasadena, March 23, 2011, South Pasadena High School, 1401 Fremont Ave., 91030
5. El Sereno, March 29, 2011, LA Christian Presbyterian Church, 2241 N. Eastern Ave., 90032
6. Pasadena, March 30, 2011, Lake Avenue Church, 393 N. Lake Ave., 91101
7. La Canada, April 5, 2011, La Canada High School, La Canada Flintridge.
8. Los Angeles, April 6, 2011, Ramona Hall Community Center, Los Angeles, CA.

The formal scoping meetings were held in a formal meeting format that included a project overview followed by public comments. All of the meetings were held with a court reporter present, and Spanish, Chinese, and Armenian translators were available.

An Agency Scoping Meeting was also held on March 14, 2011. An overview of the project and description of possible alternatives were provided to agency representatives. Concerns about the project were discussed and questions were answered. The importance of continued Inter-Agency coordination was emphasized.

The Virtual Scoping Meeting was webcast on March 21, 2011 and on-demand at [www.metro.net/sr710conversations](http://www.metro.net/sr710conversations) through April 14, 2011.

## **Summary of Scoping Comments**

The formal scoping period extended from March 3, 2011 through April 14, 2011. Caltrans and Metro accepted comments on the proposed project throughout the entire scoping period. Comments were received from a total of 252 different agencies, community groups, members of the public, elected officials and other interested parties via letters, emails, comment cards, and recorded scoping meeting comments. These comments are summarized in the below comment matrix and are included in their entirety in Volume II.

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Alhambra Chamber of Commerce</b>	104 South First St, Alhambra CA 91801	Support for project	Improved air quality, commute times, Measure R funds approved by voters
<b>American Institute of Architects, Pasadena/Foothill Chapter</b>	555 S. Oak Knoll Ave, Pasadena CA 91101	Items for EIR Analysis	More alternatives in addition to tunnel or surface option should be considered, port traffic may be root of problem, cost benefit analysis is necessary, thorough traffic analysis requested
<b>Aram Vartivarian</b>	3844 Inglis Drive, Los Angeles CA 90065	Support for project	
<b>Arlington Garden</b>	295 Arlington Drive, Pasadena CA 91105	Items for EIR Analysis	Identify all the following potential impacts to Arlington Garden: Aesthetics - Agricultural Resources - Air Quality - Biological Resources - Land Use and Planning - Noise - Mandatory Findings of Significance
<b>Assemblymember Anthony Portantino</b>	215 N Marengo Ave Ste 115, Pasadena CA 91101	Opposition to project	Upset with meetings and their format - Need detailed project Cost/Benefit analysis - Does Caltrans really have NEPA authority for this project? - Need "21st Century Solutions" not more freeways.
<b>Assemblymember Mike Eng</b>	205 S Chapel Ave, Alhambra CA 91801	Support for project	
<b>Carol Teutsch</b>	841 Moon Ave, Los Angeles CA 90065	Items for EIR Analysis	Need clear Purpose and Need - need extensive Cost/Benefit Analysis - How does project full address California's Strategic Growth Council and the Prevention Institute's report on Transportation Prescription? - Need HIA/HRA - Health should be the main focus in evaluating transportation projects.
<b>Chris Paulson</b>	303 W Linda Vista Ave #17, Alhambra CA 91801	Support for project	

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Cindy Wilcox</b>	4408 Union Ave, La Canada Flintridge CA 91011	Items for EIR Analysis	Include La Canada and La Crescenta in analyses. Include rail alternative
<b>City of Alhambra Unified School District, Donna Perez</b>	1515 W Mission Rd, Alhambra CA 91803	Support for project	Completion of the 710 would move cars more efficiently through region and lessen AQ impacts - regional traffic operations analysis should be included, AQ/HIA, economy impacts.
<b>City of Alhambra Unified School District, Stacie Coleman-Hsu</b>	409 S Atlantic Blvd, Alhambra CA 91801	Support for project	Supports connecting 710 to 210 - AQ/HIA, traffic impacts
<b>City of Alhambra, Councilmember Barbara Messina</b>	111 South First Street, Alhambra CA 91801	Support for project	EIR should show the regional benefits of project - Easier Commutes - Improved Air Quality - and Help Tourism.
<b>City of Alhambra, Mayor Gary Yamauchi</b>	111 South First Street, Alhambra CA 91801	Support for project	EIR/EIS must exemplify the existing hazardous traffic conditions in Alhambra - Specific areas of study are: Truck Traffic - Congestion - Public Safety - Air Quality - Environmental Justice.
<b>City of Glendale, Mayor Ara Najarian</b>	613 E Broadway, Ste 200, Glendale CA 91206	Opposition to project/ Items for EIR analysis	Glendale City Council resolution no. 09-111 - Entire city should be included in study area, all alternatives to be analyzed at same level of detail, construction impacts analyzed, all arterials in circulation element of general plan should be included in traffic model
<b>City of La Canada Flintridge</b>	1327 Foothill Blvd, La Canada Flintridge CA 91011	Opposition to project	Focus on local artery traffic management systems and light/heavy rail alternatives, not new freeway. - Include La Canada in project study area - tunnel earthquake safety concerns - Insufficient NOP needs to be reissued with more specific project descriptions/alternatives - Need robust Cost-Benefit Analysis - All issues raised and La Canada scoping mtg, the City's 2006 and 2009 letters and the 2004, 2007, 2010 air quality issues need to be addressed and mitigated.

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>City of Monterey Park</b>	320 W Newmark Ave, Monterey Park CA 91754	Support for project	Need project to improve quality of life and health of Monterey Park Residents - Please speed up project approval process - stop w/alternative development, the tunnel is clearly the best option - Regional problems will be solved with the 710 Gap closure - The south tunnel portal should be north of the I-10 to prevent a needlessly complicated interchange.
<b>City of Pasadena</b>	221 E Walnut St, Ste 210, Pasadena CA 91101	Items for EIR Analysis	What aspects of regional mobility and accessibility are limited and how will the project fix both existing and projected transportation issues? - How does the Gap limit north/south or east/west goods movement? - How does the Gap limit regional commute travel? - Need origin/destination study to show how current users would be affected by the project - Public need to be informed of progress at each project milestone - the letter also includes an extensive list of items to be included in ED.
<b>City of Rosemead, Mayor Steven Ly</b>	8838 E Valley Blvd, Rosemead CA 91770	Support for project	Would like to have the project expedited due to growing traffic circulation issues.
<b>City of San Gabriel</b>	425 S Mission Drive, San Gabriel CA 91778	Support for project	support for either a tunnel or surface route to improve air quality and regional mobility - EIR should detail how the project would help improve the local economy.
<b>City of San Marino, Mayor Matt Ballantyne</b>	2200 Huntington Dr, San Marino CA 91108	Support for project	Project is long overdue and should be expedited
<b>City of South El Monte</b>	1415 N Santa Anita Ave, South El Monte CA 91733	Support for project	Gap has been a problem for 40yrs - air quality will be improved - community will have easier commutes - project will create much needed jobs -

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>City of South Pasadena</b>	1414 Mission St, Pasadena CA 91030	Opposition to project	There is no "Gap" - Eliminate all highway alternatives and embrace "multi-modal solutions". - Perform Cost Benefit analysis - SCH# same as old project - How much Federal Funding involved? - Need detailed Project Description - HRA needed - Need larger study area - Need a "total travel time" analysis - Impacts to existing multi-modal Circ (?) on local streets - Need Toll Modeling and Congestion Pricing - Evaluate Conformance with the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Goals (SB 375)
<b>Claire Bogaard, Joanne Nuckols &amp; Christopher Sutton</b>	not given	Opposition to project	Concerned that the new project would rely on the previous studies that were deemed flawed by the court.
<b>Cliff Weinan</b>	3308 Danzig Pl, Alhambra CA 91803	Support for project	The project is way overdue and necessary to improve traffic circulation safety.
<b>Congressman Adam Schiff</b>	87 N Raymond Ave Ste 800, Pasadena CA 91103	Items for EIR Analysis	expand study area, all alternative methods of transportation should be included, cost benefit analysis should be done.
<b>Crescenta Valley Town Council</b>	PO Box 8676, La Crescenta CA 91224	Opposition to project	Rail is preferred mode of congestion relief, La Crescenta/Montrose should be included in study area
<b>David Alba, Project Designer, GRID</b>	not given	GRID solutions	The Gabriel River Infrastructure Development (GRID) should be identified as the Environmentally Superior Alternative in the EIR/EIS
<b>David Czamanske</b>	PO Box 3572, South Pasadena CA 91031	Opposition to project	Project will draw more cars to the area. No money for such a large project. Won't be enough traffic to make tolling feasible.
<b>Delaine Shane</b>	402 W El Centro St Unit #12, South Pasadena CA 91030	Information requests	Need more specific details about the proposed project, without specifics it is difficult to comment. - The approach and advertising of public meetings was confusing -The NOP did not contain an email address - FHWA's role was not made clear in the NOP - NOP too vague on probable environmental impacts - need to acknowledge Assembly Bill 353

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Diane Harrison</b>	4027 Dover Rd, La Canada Flintridge CA 91011	Opposition to project	Opposed due to the project's potential to worsen Air, Noise, and Aesthetic Pollution.
<b>Don &amp; Marilyn Keenan</b>	not given	Support for project	The existing congestion in Alhambra is unacceptable. For the health and safety of our children, please expedite and construct the project.
<b>Edward Neill</b>	1105 Leonard Ave, Pasadena CA 91107	Support for project	Long-time Alhambra resident has seen congestion steadily worsen over the years and it is now at highly unacceptable levels. Alhambra has been waiting for many years, please expedite project.
<b>Elise Kalfayan</b>	1555 N Pacific Ave Glendale CA 91202	Items for EIR Analysis	requests numerous detailed cost-benefit analyses
<b>Environmental Protection Agency</b>	75 Hawthorne St, San Francisco CA 94105	Items for EIR Analysis	purpose and need and range of alternatives needs to be clearly defined, impacts of increased vehicle travel, impacts of tunneling (excavation, hydrology, etc), business/resident displacement, air quality impacts to nearby residents (MSATs), requests HIA, lists potential mitigation for air quality impacts, climate change adaptation, aquatic resource and hydrology impacts, integration of project with surrounding existing facilities, suggests use of "green infrastructure", environmental justice and community outreach concerns, cumulative impact analysis.
<b>Eric Jacek</b>	303 W Linda Vista Ave #17, Alhambra CA 91801	Support for project	40 yrs is too long to wait - air quality will be improved - improved commute times - jobs will also be created - please expedite project.
<b>Fremont School</b>	2001 South Elm St, Alhambra CA 91803	Support for project	support for either a tunnel or surface route to improve air quality
<b>G. Caldwell</b>	La Canada Flintridge	Opposition to project	Against the project due to noise and air pollution and general quality of life issues. Los Angeles doesn't need more freeways.
<b>Gloria Downer</b>	31 N Second St	Support for	Supports extension of 710 to 210

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Grifols, Inc.</b>	Apt A, Alhambra CA 91801  2410 Lillyvale Ave, Los Angeles CA 90032	project  Items for EIR Analysis	Grifols is a plasma therapy producer and the EIR must include all impacts to operations and the facility
<b>Harry Knapp</b>	417 El Centro St, South Pasadena CA 91030	Items for EIR Analysis	Hydrology effects in San Gabriel and Raymond Basins, seismic impacts of a tunnel, federal injunction issues (section 4f, multimode low build alternative, violations of Clean Air Act), Multimode low build alternative should be evaluated on a regional basis
<b>Huntington Hospital</b>	100 W California Blvd, Pasadena CA 91109	Items for EIR Analysis	Huntington Hospital included as a sensitive receptor, hot spot analysis and health risk/health impact assessment should be conducted
<b>Jan SooHoo</b>	1339 El Vago St, La Canada Flintridge CA 91011	Items for EIR Analysis	purpose and need to be defined and proven that project supports it, origin-destination studies should be done, expand study area, impacts to traffic on 210, effects of tolling on trip patterns, AQ impacts to sensitive receptors/hot spot analysis requested.
<b>Jeff Wang</b>	not given	Items for EIR Analysis	AQ and sensitive receptors (schools), alternative modes of transportation and the societal cost of trucks, possibility of budget overruns and cost estimates
<b>Jennifer Perez</b>	not given	opposition to project	Signal synchronization a better option
<b>Jerome Berkham</b>	not given	Opposition to project	tunnel would cause greater congestion, tunnel safety, rail system recommended
<b>Jim &amp; Susan Stauffer</b>	not given	Air Quality	health impacts of pollution, hot spot analysis requested
<b>Jim Price</b>	Pasadena	Support for project	No real reason exists why freeway is not completed
<b>Joanne Nuckols</b>	1531 Ramona Ave, South Pasadena CA 91030	opposition to project	multimode alternative will solve the issue, freeway or tunnel option will not
<b>Joe Potts</b>	806 Meridian Ave, South	Opposition to project	air quality, definition of project, multimodal solutions, freeway obsolescence

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Judith O'Keefe</b>	Pasadena CA 91030 403 Winthrop Rd, San Marino CA 91108	Support for project	Los Robles in San Marino is a residential street that is operating beyond capacity. Completion of the freeway will lessen congestion on surface streets and improve quality of life
<b>Judy Baldwin</b>	not given	Opposition to project	tunnel option will increase traffic, and increased particulate matter
<b>Judy Bergstresser</b>	1945 Meridian Ave, South Pasadena CA 90130	Items for EIR Analysis	must include impacts of widening 710 corridor, need/benefit, no-build and low-build, multi-modal alternatives must be defined as "environmentally superior alternatives", rail option, land use issues, GRID project is best alternative.
<b>Julia Hastings</b>	4855 Grand Ave, La Canada Flintridge CA 91011	Opposition to project	alternate transportation modes/multimodal alternatives are preferred
<b>LA 32 Neighborhood Council</b>	4927 Huntington Dr, Ste 111, Los Angeles CA 90032	Project issues/concerns	Poor outreach, lack of information provided on meeting notices, community participation, and info offered by Caltrans and Metro, language barriers for some residents of impacted areas, too much emphasis on SGV residents and not enough on Los Angeles city residents. Improve outreach/participation process
<b>La Canada Unified School District</b>	4490 Cornishon Ave, La Canada Flintridge CA 91011	Opposition to project	District does not consider tunnel option viable, prefers freight rail alternative. Cost/benefit analysis requested. AQ impacts to district schools requested.
<b>LA County Fire Dept</b>	1320 North Eastern Ave, LA 90063	forestry division	erosion control, watershed management, rare/endangered species, vegetation, fuel modification, archeo/cultural resources, County Oak tree ordinance, all should be addressed in EIR.
<b>Lorena Manriquez, WISPPA</b>	919 Indiana Ave, South Pasadena, CA 91030	Geotechnical	questions about CH2MHill geotechnical preliminary feasibility report, concern over area faultlines, is there a preferred zone in terms of geotechnical feasibility

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Los Angeles City Department of Transportation</b>	100 S Main St, 10th floor, Los Angeles CA 90012	Information requests	LADOT would like to be closely involved with the development of this project.
<b>Los Angeles Conservancy</b>	523 W 6th St, Ste 826, Los Angeles CA 90014	Historic resources under CEQA/NEPA	updated historic properties inventory should be done, impacts to said properties must be analyzed, preservation solutions/mitigation considered
<b>Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority</b>	One Gateway Plaza, Los Angeles CA 90012	Construction Impacts	Requests coordination to avoid/minimize impacts to bus service (both MTA and municipal providers)
<b>Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts</b>	1955 Workman Mill Rd, Whittier CA 90601	Items for EIR Analysis	Project is mainly located in District 16 and once alternatives are developed, close interaction with the County Sanitation Districts will be necessary.
<b>Marge Piane</b>	2267 Moss Ave, Los Angeles CA 90065	Project alignment	Do not duplicate the alignment of 5 freeway, connect 710 to the north stub
<b>Marjorie Hanna</b>	1045 El Vago St, La Canada Flintridge CA 91011	project opposition	Connection of 710 to 210 would be detrimental in terms of health and safety
<b>Mary Ann Parada</b>	1710 Ramona Ave, South Pasadena CA 91030	Tunnel Cost	Discrepancy within feasibility report cost estimates and what these costs entail (ROW, design, contingency or construction costs only). Requests cost analysis - Who assumes tunnel maintenance costs (CT or MTA)
<b>Mary Cooper</b>	not given	project opposition	Connection of 710 to 210 jeopardizes neighborhoods, and improvements to Hellman offramp, increased public transportation, complete 110 to 210 via a tunnel at Arroyo Pkwy, add lanes to 710/10

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Metropolitan Water District</b>	700 N Alameda St, Los Angeles CA 90012	Items for EIR Analysis	Will Caltrans be the Lead Agency for both NEPA/CEQA? - MWD owns and operates two pipelines in the project area and it is important service is maintained during any construction activities.
<b>Native American Heritage Commission</b>	915 Capitol Mall, Rm 364, Sacramento CA 95814	Items for EIR Analysis	Native American cultural resources were not identified within 1/2 mile of the areas of potential effect (APE), based on the NOP. Early and consistent consultation with Native American contacts will be important as the project progresses.
<b>No 710 Action Committee</b>	PO Box 51124, Pasadena CA 91115	Definition of project	I-710 Corridor Project and Gap Closure project a "piecemealing", usage of "gap closure" nomenclature. Multi-modal alternatives including freight by rail. Issues with Purpose and Need statement. Diversion of traffic elsewhere. Health, community, and economic impacts of freight transportation - Port growth - tunnel safety issues - cost estimates, cost benefit and viability of tolling - air quality, hot spot analysis - hydrology/geology concerns
<b>NRDC and El Sereno Organizing Committee</b>	NRDC: 1314 Second St, Santa Monica CA 90401	Opposition to project/ Items for EIR analysis	Failed to include El Sereno in outreach efforts, a violation of NEPA/CEQA. Historically low turnout of El Sereno residents at meetings. Lack of strategic outreach plan. - Environmental justice impacts must be mitigated - EIR/EIS must analyze greenhouse gas emissions and climate change.
<b>Odom Stamps</b>	318 Fairview Ave, South Pasadena CA 91030	concerns regarding Raymond Basin Aquifer	tunnel digging would exacerbate VOC release into the aquifer, no water quality studies have been done, geological/faultline concerns
<b>Oscar Gutierrez</b>	not given	Opposition to project	Rejects any freeway, highway, skyway, or tunnel option.
<b>Pamela Marquez</b>	3118 Lowell Ave, Los Angeles CA 90032	Other improvement(s) recommendation	Recommend improvements to Hellman offramp, increased public transportation, complete 110 to 210 via a tunnel at Arroyo Pkwy, add lanes to 710/10, electric freight rail recommended

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Pasadena Heritage</b>	651 South St. John Avenue, Pasadena CA 91105	Items for EIR Analysis	disagrees with "gap closure" nomenclature used. Requests study of all possible alignment options and all possible transportation alternatives. Requests new historic property inventory involving agencies and preservation organizations. Air quality - sensitive receptors, impacts for tunnel avoidance routes, exhaust tower analysis
<b>Patricia Douglas</b>	not given	project opposition	earthquake concerns, air quality concerns (vents), additional traffic creation on off-ramps, rail to carry freight from ports
<b>Peter Orona</b>	5472 Allan St, Los Angeles CA 90031	Opposition to project	moral issues, configuration between 60/10, concerns on air vents/scrubbers for underground option, noise pollution during construction, traffic flow issues, risk assessment done, hazardous waste concerns during construction, mineral rights, fire within tunnel?, profitability, terrorist target concerns, etc
<b>Peter Rowan</b>	4806 Glenwood Ave, La Crescenta CA 91214	project opposition	modern master plan for LA is needed, increase in truck traffic, truck pollution, will harm our children, rail recommended
<b>Ramona Elementary School</b>	509 W Norwood Plc, Alhambra CA 91803	Support for project	Gap has been a problem for 40yrs - air quality will be improved - community will have easier commutes - project will create much need jobs -
<b>Rene Bobadilla, El Monte City Manager</b>	11333 Valley Blvd, El Monte CA 91731	Support for project	
<b>Richard Spies</b>	440 Pilgrim Pl, San Marino CA 91108	Support for project	Los Robles Street is highly congested, completion of 710 will alleviate surface street congestion
<b>Rick Nathanson</b>	2849 Harmony Pl, La Crescenta, CA 91214	Opposition to project	"210 freeway extension" would increase traffic and truck traffic, schools near 210 and air pollution, extend study area to include Sunland, Tujunga, La Crescenta, La Canada Flintridge
<b>Sam Burgess</b>	626 Prospect Ave Unit B, South Pasadena	Items for EIR Analysis	separation of 710 north and south (corridor) projects - piecemealing - portals and ventilation stacks need to be clearly defined and mitigated below significant AQ impacts

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
	CA 91030		
<b>San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mtns Conservancy Sequoyah School</b>	100 N Old San Gabriel Cyn Rd, Azusa CA 91702	Items for EIR Analysis	Important issues the EIR/EIS need to address: Land Use, Population and Housing, Water Quality, Air Quality, Biological Resources, Hazards, Noise, and Recreation.
	535 South Pasadena Ave, Pasadena CA 91105	Items for EIR Analysis	Important issues the EIR/EIS need to address: Aesthetic, social, and economic impacts - traffic management and pedestrian safety, Air quality, and construction mitigation.
<b>Sharon Hales</b>	2305 Panorama Dr, La Crescenta CA 91214	Opposition to project	carbon emissions would be increased by the project, decreasing quality of life for people and wildlife, hot spot analysis and Health Impact Analysis should be done, reliance on fossil fuels should be curtailed
<b>Sharon Lilly</b>	659 Oleander Dr, Los Angeles, CA 90042	Air quality/rail	Requests hot spot analysis - Requests analysis of rail freight
<b>Sheila Khoo</b>	not given	Support for project	congestion alleviation and lessened impacts to surrounding communities
<b>Singer Park Neighborhood Association</b>	PO Box 50245, Pasadena CA 91115	Items for EIR Analysis	project should not rely on previous studies, needs up-to-date extensive environmental analysis.
<b>South Coast Air Quality Management District</b>	21865 Copley Dr, Diamond Bar CA 91765	Items for EIR Analysis	Project will require an in-depth Air Quality Analysis, including approved thresholds of significance and appropriate mitigation measures
<b>South Pasadena Preservation Foundation</b>	913 Meridian Ave, Pasadena CA 91030	Items for EIR Analysis	All project features must be determined before EIR preparation, and multimodal alternatives must be developed - cost benefit analysis inc. social, economic, environmental costs - traffic analyses, cultural resources, visual, financial mitigation, increased health insurance costs

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>South Pasadena Unified School District</b>	1020 El Centro St, South Pasadena, 91030	Air Quality	Concern about particulate matter and other pollutants near sensitive receptors (schools). Requests HIA/HRA for ED.
<b>Southern California Association of Governments</b>	818 W. Seventh St, 12th flr, Los Angeles CA 90017	Project description	Project is defined as regionally significant per CEQA guidelines 15125/15206
<b>St. Francis High School</b>	200 Foothill Blvd, La Canada Flintridge, CA 91011	Items for EIR Analysis	requests cost/benefit analysis, analysis of funding options, questions about PPP permissibility per State LAO. Air quality - requests study of sensitive receptors, children near a freeway
<b>Stephanie Johnson</b>	1920 Los Robles Ave, San Marino CA 91108	Los Robles Ave traffic concerns	Los Robles Ave has been impacted by excessive traffic and needs mitigation
<b>Stephanie Ryan</b>	4806 Glenwood Ave, La Crescenta CA 91214	Opposition to project	modern master plan for LA is needed, increase in truck traffic, truck pollution, will harm our children, rail recommended
<b>Steve Jacek</b>	2039 S. Sixth St, Alhambra CA 91803	Support for project	connection should be completed as soon as practicable, job creation, air quality and traffic congestion will be improved
<b>Storrier Stearns Japanese Garden</b>	270 Arlington Dr, Pasadena CA 91105	Right of way	Concerned about the final disposition of the garden located at 244 Arlington (Currently leased from the state), integral part of the Japanese garden, a Mills Act property
<b>Susan Bolan</b>	3528 Prospect Ave, La Crescenta CA 91214	Opposition to project	Project would have additional vehicle traffic, air pollutants, and noise; study area should be expanded

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Terry Beyer</b>	2230 Richey Dr, La Canada Flintridge CA 91011	Opposition to project	extension of freeway will result in increased truck traffic, tunnel will cost too much along with added seismic dangers, mass transit improvements preferred, container goods should utilize rail technology
<b>Toni Livingston</b>	3229 Orilla Ave, Los Angeles CA 90065	Opposition to project	traffic will bypass tunnel if tolled, thus creating more pollution and impacting nearby residents' quality of life. Utilize rail instead (both light and freight)
<b>Trish Kertes</b>	not given	Opposition to project	La Canada should be included in study area. Freight rail preferred.
<b>Trisha Gossett</b>	1842 Phillips Way, Los Angeles CA 90042	Items for EIR Analysis	Expand study area to include Highland Park, Glassell Park, Mount Washington, Eagle Rock, and study all 5 zones. Multimode and transit options should be included. Freight rail option. Tunnel safety issues - cost benefit analysis, PPP, tolling issues - Air quality, cleaning towers, location, technology, size, impacts, hot spot analysis and HIA requested - historic property inventory should be done
<b>Val Marquez</b>	3118 Lowell Ave, Los Angeles CA 90032	Other improvement(s) recommendations	Recommend improvements to Hellman offramp, increased public transportation, complete 110 to 210 via a tunnel at Arroyo Pkwy, add lanes to 710/10, electric freight rail recommended
<b>Victor Saliamonos</b>	5511 Vista Canada Pl, La Canada Flintridge CA 91011	Opposition to project	Concerned about truck noise, increased pollution and sensitive receptors (schools, etc) cost of project, electric freight rail should be considered.
<b>Waverly School - Charles E. Loveman, Board of Trustees</b>	67 W Bellevue Dr, Pasadena CA 91105	Items for EIR Analysis	Air quality and health are the school's main concern. Would like to know where the proposed air ventilation shafts would be located.
<b>Waverly School - Heidi Johnson, Head of School</b>	67 W Bellevue Dr, Pasadena CA 91105	Items for EIR Analysis	request and air quality "hot-spot" analysis be completed for all four schools and how/where would the air ventilation be operated and maintained.
<b>Wayna Kato</b>	1036 Hope St,	Opposition to	air quality impacts, multimodal solutions, induced demand

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Waynna Kato and Clarice Knapp</b>	South Pasadena, CA 91030	project	non-attainment basin, reduction of emissions. Requests HIA. Expand project area, Include 710 corridor project
<b>William Downer</b>	31 N Second St Apt A, Alhambra CA 91801	Support for project	Extend 710 to 210 as soon as possible
<b>William Sherman</b>	320 Grand Ave, South Pasadena CA 91030	Project issues/concerns	Air quality and tower ventilation concerns, wants hot-spot analysis and health risk assessment, comprehensive disaster plan should be done, including accidents and terrorist attacks, emergency personnel plan, firm that is contracted to write the EIR/EIS should not be involved with the design/build phase of the project.
<p><b>Verbal comments received at scoping meetings</b>            March 15, 2011 - San Gabriel - Jefferson Middle School</p>			
<b>Clyde T. Williams</b>	4115 Barrett Rd, Los Angeles	project definition	clarification needed on project purpose and need and definition of project. Email submittals incorporated in scoping report, scoping report due date.
<b>Daniel Walker</b>	7416 W 82nd St, Los Angeles	support for project	supports tunnel option, should study features to make it minimally invasive, efficient
<b>Don Hagstrom</b>	11158 Bertha Pl, Cerritos	support for project	impacts of not building project, project a part of regional planning, project mitigation can be done successfully
<b>Joanne Nuckols</b>	1531 Ramona Ave, South Pasadena	opposition	impacts of tunnel as toll facility, cost is currently underestimated, behavioral impacts of tolling, injunction applying to the surface route may apply to tunnel?
<b>Mary Cammarano</b>	1218 Palm Ave, San Gabriel	support for project	Relief from commuter traffic, City of San Gabriel has been on record as in support of the project. This is a regional problem with future benefit
<b>Nat Read</b>	100 E Corson St Ste 200, Pasadena 91103	Support	rail should be emphasized, gap in freeway system is a problem that Measure R gives the opportunity to fix, complete study quickly and thoroughly

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Sean Telles</b>	5618 N Muscatel Ave, San Gabriel	opposition	packets should have been printed double-sided. Public transit doesn't work. Freeway building is unfair to people's health. Money should go towards improving public transit instead
March 16 - Alhambra - Civic Center Library			
<b>Adele Andrade Stadler</b>	2956 West Shorb St, Alhambra CA	support for project	Concerned for health of people who walk to school, AQ impacts, getting cars off surface streets.
<b>Al Diaz</b>	240 Hampton Terrace	support for project	bridge option, build to Huntington Drive, doesn't think project will ever go through
<b>Annette Arizmendez</b>	Alhambra		Century freeway was built
<b>Barbara Messina</b>	not given	support for project	Freeway was never intended to end at Valley, impacts of so much traffic on and damage to surface streets is very high. Connectivity is important, this is not new construction, it is completion.
<b>Chris Paulson</b>	521 Alhambra St, Alhambra CA	support for project	too much traffic being dumped on Alhambra streets, causing stress, air quality impacts, economic impacts, being bullied by South Pasadena. Traffic should be distributed more evenly throughout region.
<b>Dan Bednarski</b>	1829 South Olive Ave Apt A, Alhambra CA	Items for EIR Analysis	does not support surface route but supports further study of tunnel route. No trucks or hazardous materials in tunnel, exhaust should be filtered, should provide infrastructure for trains/light rail in future. Release all documents to the public online.
<b>David Czamanske</b>	not given	opposition	project will not reduce traffic congestion, will likely attract more vehicles, no public funds will be available for construction due to budgetary constraints, public-private partnership is not viable because of questions regarding profitability, tolling will likely divert traffic
<b>Enrique Garcia</b>	329 East Adams Ave, Alhambra	support for project	gap in freeway makes no sense, support tunnel option
<b>Frank Bodeman</b>	114 S Electric Ave, Alhambra CA	support for project	Too much traffic on surface streets
<b>Frank Pulciano</b>	605 North	support for	project is overdue, will help traffic

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Gary Frueholz</b>	Granada, Alhambra CA	project	Alhambra's population has gone down between census, becoming less dense, railroad trench and bridge issue - existing streets going over trench
<b>Gayle McKinney</b>	not given	support for project	Alhambra has borne costs of freeway inefficiencies, health impacts of sitting in traffic.
<b>Hank Fung</b>	not given	Items for EIR Analysis	do not believe accurate name is 'gap closure', should be more neutral. Comments received during other meetings should be on the record and published online. Option to connect to SR-2 and a future Palmdale/Glendale tunnel. Prohibit trucks from using tunnel and charge tolls to fund tunnel construction. Consider HOV-only option. Include TSM/TDM alternatives.
<b>Janet Ervin</b>	801 Meridian, South Pasadena CA	Items for EIR Analysis	lots of redevelopment in Alhambra, greater density brings more traffic, South Pasadena and Alhambra have similar aims in regional solutions to traffic problems and health problems through the corridor. Smokestacks of unfiltered exhaust are AQ impact, locations are key. General AQ impacts to corridor. 710 corridor project expansion compatibility with a tunnel (bottleneck issues). rail expansion alternatives.
<b>Joanne Nuckols</b>	1531 Ramona Ave, South Pasadena	Items for EIR Analysis	1993 truck ban by Caltrans should be upheld. Origin-destination study should be done to determine traffic numbers and utilization of tunnel, diversion rates. Cost of tunnel.
<b>John Lodge</b>	not given	support for project	tunneling would preserve neighborhoods and improve traffic
<b>Lee Dolley</b>	111 S First St, Alhambra CA	support for project	SCAG's cost estimate for tunnel of 11B is inaccurate, encourages thorough and complete EIR/EIS process
<b>Maria Murray</b>	1708 Stoneman, Alhambra	support for project	surface streets in Alhambra have become freeways and 710 is long overdue for completion
<b>Martha Vanegas</b>	2705 Ramona Ave, Alhambra	support for project	will improve AQ

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
Owen Guenthard	not given	support for project	freeway not completed is a regional problem, completion of freeways is an economic and connectivity benefit, businesses and citizens of Alhambra support completion of freeway.
Sam Burgess	626 Prospect Ave unit B, South Pasadena CA	opposition	diesel emissions from trains are less than that of trucks, truck emissions should be mitigated to below the level of trains. Cost of project is probably in total \$14B
Stephen Trapani	508 S Chapel Ave, Alhambra CA	support for project	transit improvements suggested, left-turn signalized intersections
Teresa Lucky	Alhambra		Question regarding money spent by Alhambra with respect to freeway (inaudible).
<b>March 22 - Glendale - Glendale Community College</b>			
Earl Smyth	La Canada	Air Quality Concerns	AQ impacts, sensitive receptors (schools)
Lee Dolley	111 S First St, Alhambra CA	EIR/EIS	wants best EIR/EIS process ever done, all topics/concerns covered, alignments two and three should be studied in depth
Mike Lawlor	2717 Altura Ave, La Crescenta 91214	financial cost	money should be spent on rail (light and freight)
Nat Read	3030 Edgewick Rd, Glendale	Project Alignment	should not go through Glendale, connect to the 2, through Glassell Park or Mt Washington, 5 potential routes are political options, environmental and traffic studies need updating to see impacts, Glendale citizens favor completion of 710
Rhoads Stephenson	4455 Rockland Place, #10, La Canada 91011	Items for EIR Analysis	encourage no-toll option, sound wall mitigation on 210, prohibit trucks north of I-10, require all nonlocal freight to leave ports via rail
Sally Balonick	10203 Mt Gleason Ave, Sunland	environmental impacts	hazardous waste impacts with tunnel option, AQ diesel impacts, traffic increase 25% with project

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Sherry Stubbs</b>	3200 Fairesta St #11, La Crescenta	opposition	does not believe this is a project but rather a goal, scoping process is insufficient because of lack of project, cannot proceed with EIR process
<b>Susan Bolan</b>	3528 Prospect Ave, La Crescenta	opposition	Nat Read is a paid lobbyist, NIMBY concerns, I-710 corridor is going through its final draft, bottleneck at mouth of tunnel, Measure R was not a mandate to build a tunnel, La Canada/USC analysis stated that truck increases would occur on 210, freeway will open with LOS F.
<b>Carol Teutsch</b>	841 Moon Ave, Los Angeles 90065	Items for EIR Analysis	purpose and need and definition of problem/project needs clarification, 710 corridor project and gap project has been improperly segmented, AQ and health impacts, other externalities, encourage thorough EIR process
<b>Jan SooHoo</b>	La Canada Flintridge	opposition	data evidence needed to support congestion relief, building more roads increases congestion, induced demand
<b>Nat Read</b>	100 E Corson St Ste 200, Pasadena 91103	support for project	encourage tunnel alternative, low-build multimode would increase traffic
<b>March 29 - El Sereno - LA Christian Presbyterian Church</b>			
<b>Al Diaz</b>	240 Hampton Ave, Alhambra	support for project	"Just build it"
<b>Alfred Valrie</b>	4727 Gambier Street, #8	support for project	
<b>Alina Guerra</b>	3533 Sheffield Ave	opposition	waste of money better spent on our schools
<b>Dave Luevano</b>	Union Rep. With Engineers Local 12	support	Project needed to create jobs
<b>Dr. Clyde Williams</b>	4115 Barrett Rd, Los Angeles	opposition	Put project in Alhambra not any further north - How about a Viaduct? - Trucks should be banned
<b>Hugo Garcia</b>	4432 Maycrest Ave, El Sereno,	Information request	Metro has inadequate community outreach - there are numerous health concerns that need addressing - Will there be tolls?

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
	LA 90032		
<b>Lee Dolley</b>	111 South First Street	importance of extensive EIR/EIS	eliminate zones 1,3,5 from further review.
<b>Moctesuma Espanza</b>	5618 berekshire Drive, El Sereno	El Sereno Concerns	This project is too expensive and isn't fully funded. Why are we even using \$\$/resources to work on this project?
<b>Paul Talbot</b>	320 West Newmark, Monterey Park (City Manager of Monterey Park)	Strong Support	Serious arterial traffic congestion, local health concerns necessitate this project. - Suggest that the tunnel portals start just north of the 10 freeway and south of Valley Blvd.
<b>Peter A. Orna</b>	5472 Allan Street, Los Angeles, CA 90032	Concern over project	shouldn't continue to promote automobile use, the project approval process needs to be carried out Democratically and Equitably
<b>Rafael DelToro</b>	5430 Templeton Street, LA, 90032	opposition	Status Quo is nice, no need for project
<b>Rober Garin</b>	186 North Crescent Drive, Los Angeles	Air Quality Concerns	Is it possible to treat the air tunnel exhausts? Possible opportunity to improve Air Quality?
<b>Sadra Larson</b>	5302 boradland Road, Los Angeles, 90032	opposition	existing traffic and trains are too loud and disruptive
<b>Teresa Lucky</b>		?	are there any residents of Alhambra against this project?
<b>Tracy Bueno</b>	4344 Winchester Ave, #18, Los Angeles, CA	opposition	project is a waste of time and money - use \$funds for improving local streets and creating jobs

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Travis Crain</b>	90032 3227 Lowell Ave, Los Angeles, CA	Concern over project	Business and Communities are improving recently and we don't want to jeopardize this improving trend with this project
<b>March 30 - Pasadena - Lake Avenue Church</b>			
<b>Brad Land</b>	100 Hurlbut Street, #12, Pasadena, 91105	opposition	need crosswalks and sidewalks instead of the Project
<b>Chris Norton</b>	150 E. Corson, Pasadena, 91103	Support	project needed to stimulate local economy
<b>City of Monterey Park, Mayor Betty Tom Chu</b>		Support	Deeply need project - it is a health and quality of life issue
<b>Dan Billy</b>	Operating Engineers Local 12 - 150 E, Corson, Pasadena	Support	Project need to alleviate local and regional congestion
<b>Dr. Clyde Williams</b>	4115 Barrett Road, El Sereno, LA 90032	opposition	Trucks should be banned
<b>Hoi Yee Chan</b>	160 Arlington drive, Pasadena, 91105	opposition	Existing traffic is unsafe and there is too much cut-through traffic and too much speeding
<b>John Laue</b>	11063 Eldora Place, Sunland	neutral	need more emphasis on public transit/light rail
<b>Judith O'Keefe</b>	403 Winthrop Road, San	Support	Project need to alleviate local and regional congestion

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Lee Dolley, former city attorney for Alhambra</b>	Marino 111 S. First Street, Alhambra	Support	South Pasadena and La Canada had some lawsuits to stop this project but they lost - no more lawsuits, let's get this project completed
<b>Nat Reed</b>	100 E. Corson St. Pasadena	Support	Pasadena voted in a Special Election to move forward with 710 Gap Project (high support for project in Pasadena 8 to 1).
<b>Robert Chu</b>		Support	project needs to be completed - process has been much too lengthy
<b>April 5 - La Canada Flintridge - La Canada High School</b>			
<b>Allen Blaisdell</b>	5152 Earl Dr., La Canada	EIR concerns	EIR needs to identify problems and address how to mitigate them
<b>Anne Tryba</b>	348 Santa Inez Way, La Canada	questions	How is is the public/private partnership supposed to function? How would the incoming money from tolls be used?
<b>Bill Weisman</b>	5001 Carolyn Way, Glendale (chair of the City of Glendale Transportation and Parking Commission)	opposition	don't need any more "automobile-centric" projects. Need more rail-specific solutions. Project needs to strongly analyze all possible toll structure(s).
<b>Carlos Gordon</b>		Air Ventilation Concerns	
<b>Cindy Wilcox (member of La Canada Unified School District)</b>	4408 Union Street, La Canada	opposition	Concerned about increased health impacts on school children
<b>Clarice Knapp</b>	417 El Centro Street, South Pasadena	opposition	La Canada and Glendale need to be included in the Project Study Area - there are serious health concerns regarding closing the gap
<b>Donald Voss</b>	City council member of La Canada	Items for EIR Analysis	Project Study needs to be expanded - Need Cost Benefit Analysis (is this project really worth the costs/risks? - Need more alternative discussion with public - Concerns of Greenhouse Gases - Health concerns at schools.

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
	Flindtridge		
<b>Dr. Clyde Williams</b>	4117 Barrett Road, El Sereno, Los Angeles	request(s) for inclusion in EIR	EIR should include growth inducement, the cost of all contract facilities to those who benefit by the origin and destination areas
<b>Gale Caswell</b>	4604 Camino Corto, La Canada, 91001	opposition	Shouldn't be called "gap" project - Increases in accident concerns - Don't need more freeways
<b>Harry Leon (Crescenta Valley Town Council)</b> <b>Harvey Zirler</b>		opposition	we are being fooled by Caltrans, the decision to build a tunnel has already been made
<b>Jerome Berkman</b>	4832 Grand Ave	opposition	The tunnel option seems to already be chosen - Who are the main proponents of the project?
<b>Kathleen Kulper</b>	2003 Manistee Dr. La Canada	opposition	terrorist and fire concerns regarding tunnel
<b>Kurt Friedman</b>	320 Georgian Road, La Canada	opposition	find a different route that won't affect La Canada - Where is the money coming from? - concerned about increases in truck traffic
<b>Laura Olhasso</b>	5130 Hayman Ave, La Canada	opposition	scared of potential fires in tunnel. Should build tunnel directly from the PoLB to Palmdale
<b>Leon Mayer</b>	City council member of La Canada Flindtridge	opposition	Demand that La Crescenta, La Canada, Glendale, and Sunland be included in Project Study Area
<b>Lisa Novick</b>		Questions about project's legality	Legality and viability of project funding?
	1111 Uintah Street, La Canada, 91011	opposition	Port freight should be rail-only, not on freeways

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Nat Reed</b>	100 E. Corson St., Pasadena	need thorough study on trucks	need thorough study on trucks in tunnel
<b>Nora Oliver</b>	2258 Daisy Lane, La Canada	air quality concerns	worried about the project's potential to worsen air quality
<b>Odalis Suarez</b>	5120 La Crescenta Ave	construction related concerns	need to have in-depth analysis of construction impacts
<b>Pat Anderson</b>	2008 Manistee Dr. La Canada Flintridge	opposition	health concerns surrounding schools - Project needs soundwalls
<b>Randy Straponzon</b>	444 Georgian Road, La Canada	opposition	We don't need more freeways - Tunnel Fire Concerns -
<b>Robert Rosenblat</b>	805 Rolin Street, South Pasadena	opposition	concerns about noise/vibration and depreciation of Home Values
<b>Sab Kim</b>	4518 El Camino Corto Street, La Canada	Noise Concerns	Existing Noise is bad, need comprehensive study of noise impacts
<b>Sally Balonick</b>	10203 Mt. Gleason Ave, Sunland, 91040	opposition	concerned about disruption of existing superfund/landfill sites and probably health impacts
<b>Sharon Raghavachary (steering committee member - La Crescental Community Valley Assoc.)</b>	2209 Maurice Ave, La Crescenta	opposition	already too many freeways, project will exacerbate Asthma problems
<b>Sharon Weisman</b>	5001 Carolyn Way, Glendale	opposition	Native American Burial Site(s) concerns -
<b>Sherry Stubbs</b>	3200 Fairesta Street, #11, La Crescenta, 91214	opposition	Requesting the cost of scoping meetings, there was also inadequate public notice

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
Susan Bolan	3528 Prospect Ave. La Crescenta	opposition	"find an alternate solution" to closing the 710 Gap
Todd Andrews	1939 Tulip Tree Lane, La Canada	Items for EIR Analysis	5 mile tunnel would be too long - need to include La Canada in study area - Economic impacts need to be studied
Trisha Kertes	1101 Unitah Street, La Canada	opposition	encourages community organization to fight against project
<b>April 6 - Highland Park - Ramona Hall Community Center</b>			
Carlos Cordon	1829 Scott Avenue	Tunnel Ventilation Concerns	Tunnel Ventilation Concerns, specifically the middle route locations
Dominik Weber	3415 Dorchester Avenue	Support for Zone 3 alignment	Not enough notification, specifically for low-income residents
Janet Ervin	801 Meridian Ave, South Pasadena	Tunnel Safety	Traffic Circulation Concerns at Tunnel Portals - Importance of accurate toll structure - Tunnel Safety
Jim Kiehl	3750 Verdugo Road, Glassell Park, 90065	Rail Alternative	no 710, instead invest in relieving bottlenecks to exiting rail and improve rail capacity.
Liz Herron	935 West Ave 37 90065	opposition	improve transit, arterial improvements instead of 710 Gap Closure
Marino Pascal	2525 Crestmoore Place, Glassell Park,	support	
Mark Valliantaos	195 South Wilson Ave. #29, Pasadena	opposition	improve transit, arterial improvements instead of 710 Gap Closure

SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix			
Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
Nancy Wyatt	754 North Avenue 50, Highland Park.	opposition	too much possible disruption due to construction
Nat Reed	710 Freeway Coalition	support	project needed as part of a successful multi-modal transportation system. "People in the 14th district of Los Angeles favor completion of the freeway by a margin of over 2 to 1."
Sam Burgess	626 Prospect Ave. #B South Pasadena		Importance of asking questions at meeting - ED should prove 710 Gap has independent utility from 710 South
Scott Piotrowski	2456 Sundown Drive, Glassell Park 90065	Tunnel Concerns	Need health mitigation \$\$/analysis of tunnel health effects.
Susan Bolan	3528 Prospect Ave, La Crescenta 91214	opposition	
Susan Roca	3314 Idell Street, LA	opposition	also opposed to high-speed rail - Train horns are too loud -
Trish Gossett	1842 Phillips Way, Los Angeles, 90042	Items for EIR Analysis	Include Eagle Rock and Highland Park in Study Area
Warren Christensen	935 West Ave 37 90065	opposition	Trucks don't need the Gap Closure, but they shouldn't be allowed in the event the project goes to construction.
<b>Written comment (comment cards) received at scoping meetings</b>			
<b>March 15, 2011 - San Gabriel - Jefferson Middle School</b>			
Dr. Clyde Williams	4115 Barrett Rd., Los Angeles, 90032-1712	info requests	Extend Scoping Period to April 28 - Add more scoping meetings (La Canada) - Add alternatives for south half of 710 to Mission Road, Huntington/Main and Hellman north.
Micheal Pulciano	524 Coolridge Dr., San Gabriel,	Support	Ready for project to be constructed

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
91775			
<b>March 16 - Alhambra - Civic Center Library</b>			
<b>Gayle McKinney</b>	333 W. Main Street #617, Alhambra, 92801	Support	Alhambra has long-running adverse traffic impacts, please construct Gap project ASAP.
<b>Gloria Valladolid</b>	PO Box 3071, Alhambra, 91803	Opposition	Mitigate transit problems w/public transportation not more freeways
<b>Hank Fung</b>	4002 N Lang, Covina, 91722	Info requests	Use a neutral name for project, Publish all transcripts, comment cards, emails, etc. - need a comprehensive origin/destination survey of vehicles within the corridor - both vehicles and transit - include alternative to connect to SR-2 at Highland Park from the valley. - Scope a legitimate TDM/TSM alternative including surface street alternatives traffic signal synchronization, banning parking on through streets, and rapid bus service.
<b>Lee Dolley</b>	111 S. First St. Alhambra, 90801	Info requests	support for project in either Zone 2 and 3.
<b>Rhoads Stephenson</b>	4455 Rockland Pl, #10	Info requests	include no-toll option - need soundwalls - No trucks should be allowed north of the I-10 - Reduce truck traffic by requiring all non-local freight to leave the 2 ports by rail.
<b>Sam Burgess</b>	626 Prospect Ave. #B, South Pasadena, 91030	Opposition	Diesel emissions from trains is less than trucks. Project should not result in more pollution as compared to trains.
<b>Stephan Trapani</b>	508 S. Chapel Ave., Alhambra, 91801-4250	Support	
<b>Terrazas Veronica</b>	5358 Almont St., Los Angeles, 90032		Freeway is needed but at too great a community/social cost(s)
<b>March 22 - Glendale - Glendale Community College</b>			

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
Lee Dolley	111 S. First St. Alhambra, 90801	Info requests	support for project in either Zone 2 and 3.
Rhoads Stephenson	4455 Rockland Pl, #10	Info requests	include no-toll option - need soundwalls - No trucks should be allowed north of the I-10 - Reduce truck traffic by requiring all non-local freight to leave the 2 ports by rail.
<b>March 23 - South Pasadena - South Pasadena High School</b> <i>No comment cards received</i>			
<b>March 29 - El Sereno - LA Christian Presbyterian Church</b>			
Lee Dolley	111 S. First St. Alhambra, 90801	Info requests	support for project in either Zone 2 and 3.
<b>March 30 - Pasadena - Lake Avenue Church</b>			
Dr. Clyde Thomas Williams	4115 Barrett Rd, Los Angeles	Project issues to address	lack of clear project purpose and need, objective, description, resulting in public distrust, consider truck ban, environmental justice issues, air quality and filtration issues, low-build/multimodal alternatives and alignments, collect all projects on 710 dealing with goods movement into one project, city of Los Angeles resolution to oppose freeway construction should be heeded
Lee Dolley	111 S. First St, Alhambra 90801	support	Attached ordinance from City of Pasadena in favor of 710 completion, South Pasadena's suit v. MTA re deletion of 710 gap project from Measure R funding. In favor of completion of 710 with all due speed.
Robert W. Chu	645 Barnum, Monterey Park	Opposition	"Worthless meetings nothing will be done!"
<b>April 5 - La Canada Flintridge - La Canada High School</b>			
Anne Tryba	348 Santa Inez, La Canada Flintridge	Items for EIR Analysis	cost projection of tolls, public private partnerships and the financing structure
Brian Ha	Pasadena	opposed to project	quality of life impacts to surrounding neighborhoods, overrun of costs, impracticality of project.

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

Name of author	Address	Primary Issue of Concern	Theme
<b>Cindy Wilcox</b>	4408 Union, La Canada Flintridge	Items for EIR Analysis	please include La Canada and La Crescenta in study area. HIA and HRA requested. Consider freight rail.
<b>Dr. Clyde Thomas Williams</b>	4115 Barrett Rd, Los Angeles	Items for EIR Analysis	Growth related impacts of origin and destination areas should be done along with cost assignment of project facilities to the beneficiaries
<b>Dr. Clyde Thomas Williams</b>	4115 Barrett Rd, Los Angeles	Items for EIR Analysis	alternatives should include a no trucks option and include impacts of \$5/gallon fuel prices
<b>Harriet Hammons</b>	5404 Rock Castle Drive, La Canada Flintridge	air quality, mobility	concerned about emissions through La Canada Flintridge. New connections would be convenient. Light rail would be great (link to Gold Line)
<b>Lee Dolley</b>	100 S 1st St, Alhambra	support	Enclosed a collection of letters and support statements for the EIR process and project advancement, Underground proposal the best solution to area congestion problems. Letters addressed to the MTA board include those from: Rep. Judy Chu, Sen. Bob Huff, Sen. Gilbert Cedillo, Assemblymember Mike Eng, Exec Director of SCAG, ICA Legislative Committee, San Gabriel Valley COG, City of Pasadena, SGV Economic Partnership, 710 Freeway Coalition, City of Alhambra, City of Monterey Park, City of San Gabriel.
<b>Lee Dolley</b>	100 S 1st St, Alhambra	SCAG Iteris truck study, Measure R	Withdrawn SCAG Iteris Truck Study is being used to discredit 710 project even though it was never completed or vetted by traffic. Unsuccessful attempt to extrapolate regional traffic model to local streets, other flawed premises. 67% yes votes on Measure R funds. Please use this number in referencing Measure R.
<b>Lisa Novick</b>	1111 Unitah Street, La Canada Flintridge	Opposition	no increase of trucks on freeways, build rail instead. Tunnel is outdated, inadequate and would result in AQ made worse/health impacts and more accidents. Noise impacts and health effects of those.
<b>April 6 - Highland Park - Ramona Hall Community Center</b>			
<b>Elizabeth Herron</b>	935 W Ave 37, Los Angeles 90065	rail alternative	EIR should examine rail alternatives only - shifting Alameda Corridor, improved light rail

**SR-710 North Gap Closure Scoping Comment Matrix**

<b>Name of author</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Primary Issue of Concern</b>	<b>Theme</b>
<b>Nancy Wyatt</b>	754 N Avenue 50, Los Angeles 90042	meeting issues	Thank you for having a meeting in Highland Park, but there are community conflicts. Address construction impacts to community. Rail alternative favored.
<b>Peter Lucey</b>	1250 N Wilson Ave, Pasadena 91104	unknown	comments on reverse of card - unreadable
<b>Susan Rocha</b>	3314 Edell St, Los Angeles 90065	project opposition	No freeway extension, no high speed train, no noise

